

# System Report: Bocage Agroforestry in France

Project name	AGFORWARD (613520)
Work-package	2: High Natural and Cultural Value Agroforestry
Specific group	Bocage agroforestry in France
Deliverable	Contribution to Deliverable 2.4: Detailed system description of a case study system
Date of report	16 February 2016
Authors	Stéphanie Aviron, Claudine Thenail, and Valérie Viaud (INRA Rennes)
Contact	claudine.thenail@rennes.inra.fr;
	valerie.viaud@rennes.inra.fr;
	stephanie.aviron@rennes.inra.fr
Approved	Gerardo Moreno (13 April 2016); Paul Burgess (17 April 2016)

#### Contents

1	Context	.2
2	Background	.2
3	Description of system	.2
4	Update on field measurements	.7
5	Expected results	.7
6	Acknowledgements	.9
7	References	.9
-		



AGFORWARD (Grant Agreement N° 613520) is co-funded by the European Commission, Directorate General for Research & Innovation, within the 7th Framework Programme of RTD. The views and opinions expressed in this report are purely those of the writers and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission.

## 1 Context

The AGFORWARD research project (January 2014 - December 2017), funded by the European Commission, is promoting agroforestry practices in Europe that will advance sustainable rural development. The project has four objectives:

- 1. to understand the context and extent of agroforestry in Europe,
- 2. to identify, develop and field-test innovations (through participatory research) to improve the benefits and viability of agroforestry systems in Europe,
- 3. to evaluate innovative agroforestry designs and practices at a field-, farm- and landscape scale, and
- 4. to promote the wider adoption of appropriate agroforestry systems in Europe through policy development and dissemination.

This report contributes to the second objective of the project. It contributes to the initial research and development protocol, Deliverable 2.4, for the participative research and development network focused on the use of agroforestry in high natural and cultural value agroforestry systems. This report was produced in February 2016, and additional material will be presented over the remaining two years of the project.

### 2 Background

The hedgerow systems of Brittany in France are ancient agroforestry systems comprising lines of high- and medium-stem trees (Antoine and Marguerie 2008). The main period of expansion of this agroforestry system was from the eighteenth century to the end of the nineteenth century. From the 1950s, the process of agricultural modernization and intensification, led to a general decrease of hedgerow density and their reduced importance in farming management. From the 1990s, hedge planting schemes have been implemented but these have not compensated for hedgerow losses over the same period (Le Dû et al. 2008; Thenail et al. 2014). The objectives in hedgerow planting include the maintenance of the cultural landscape and the regulation of nitrate and phosphorus pollution.

The research developed in work-package 2 of the AGFORWARD project focuses on recent hedgerow networks planted in the 2000s, promoted by the farmers of "Terres et bocages" group, and designed and managed with an adaptive strategy to allow multiple ecosystem services. The objective is to quantify the ecosystem services provided by such systems in three domains: 1) support and regulation services associated to biodiversity, 2) support and regulation services associated to soil and water, and 3) provisioning services from the hedgerows and associated fields.

### 3 Description of system

Our research is based on a pseudo-trial, i.e. a comparison of two sites where hedgerows have been planted in the 2000s and that can be compared from a limited set of varying factors. Table 1 provides a general description of the bocage agroforestry system in Brittany, western France. A description of the specific pseudo-trial case study systems is provided in Table 2.

General description of system		
Name of group	Bocage agroforestry in France	
Contact	Claudine Thenail, Stéphanie Avrion or Valérie Viaud	
Work-package	2: High Natural and Cultural Value Agroforestry	
Geographical extent	Bocage systems in the traditional sense are to be found throughout Brittany (western France). New hedgerows are also planted throughout Brittany, but innovative hedgerows networks, with adaptive design and management are rarer. They are mainly planted in central Brittany.	
Estimated area	Bocage agroforestry systems occur all over Brittany. Total hedgerow length is estimated to 183,000 km over 27,208 km <sup>2</sup> . Hedgerow density varies between 16 and 94 m ha <sup>-1</sup> (IFN, 2009).	
Typical soil types	Varied	
Description	Bocage agroforestry systems consist of hedgerows planted on field boundaries, often organized into a spatial network at the landscape scale. They include high-stem and medium stem trees associated with an herbaceous stratum on the ground. Their purpose was traditionally to delimitate property boundaries, to provide protection for livestock (shelter) and crops (windbreaks), and to produce adequate resources of firewood and timber. They are associated with mixed livestock-cropping farming systems. Traditional hedgerows in Brittany are characterized by a diversity of boundary structures (e.g. with or without bank), of management regimes by farmers, and of vegetation structures and composition (Baudry and Jouin 2003). The recognition of the environmental value of hedgerows (see, for instance, Baudry et al. 2000) has led to the implementation of several programs of hedgerow plantations throughout Brittany. Amongst them, a few programs rely on innovative approaches, promoting adaptive design and management of hedgerows by farmers, as well as spatial connections between hedgerows on the farm. We focus on the evaluation of these innovative agroforestry systems.	
Tree species	Varied: main tree species include <i>Quercus pedonculata, Castanea sativa,</i> Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior, and Alnus glutinosa	
Tree products	Firewood, timber, mulch	
Crop species	Varied: maize, wheat, barley, grass species	
Crop products	Varied: silage maize provided animal feed. Grass can be grazed directly by livestock or cut to provide animal feed (silage or hay).	
Animal species	Varied: including no animals, cattle in the field, and housed pig and poultry systems.	
Animal products	Varied: including none, milk, beef, pork, and eggs	
Regulating services	The trees modify the microclimate: wind speed, evapotranspiration, temperature. They can provide shelter for cattle. The trees can improve water infiltration, reduce runoff and reduce nutrient leaching. Denitrification can also be enhanced in the tree rooting zone. The trees and associated vegetation increase carbon storage.	
Habitat services and	The hedgerow is a stable semi-natural habitat and refuge for several plant	
biodiversity	conditions for plant and insect species associated to semi-natural habitats	

	such as forests. The trees can provide nesting resources for birds or
	invertebrates (e.g. pollinators) early in the season. The herbaceous layer on
	hedgerow banks can provide food resources and overwintering sites for
	beneficial insects (e.g. pollinators, natural enemies of crop pests), for flagship
	species such as butterflies, but also for species that are detrimental to
	provisioning services (crop pests, e.g. aphids or weeds). Hedgerows can act as
	corridors between habitats for species associated to semi-natural habitats,
	but also as barriers for species of open habitats.
Cultural services	Field delimitation.
	Landscape: bocage agroforestry is the traditional agricultural landscape in
	Brittany.
Key references	See end of report

# Table 2. Description of the specific case study system

Specific description of	site
Area	The case study system comprises 2 sites where new hedgerows have been
	planted in 2005
Address and co-	Site 1: Les Ecoupées, 22600 La Motte (48.245868°N, 2.695410°W)
ordinates	Site 2 : Coacavec, 22600 Saint-Barnabé (48.123801°N, -2.739273°W)
Site contact	Claudine Thenail
Site contact email	claudine.thenail@rennes.inra.fr
Example	
photograph	
	and the second se
	And the second
	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
	the set of
	and an a second s
	The state of the second second will be a second sec
	and the second of the second sec
	the second s
	Credit: INRA Rennes, 2014
	Figure 1. Example of a hadge
	Figure 1. Example of a nedge



Possible modelling scenarios				
Comparison	Innovative hedgerow systems will be compared to traditional ones.			
Climate characteristics				
Mean monthly	10.7°C			
temperature				
Mean annual	725 mm			
precipitation				
Details of weather	Weather station located approximately 5 km from the experimental sites :			
station (and data)	Loudéac station (Météo-France)			
Soil type				
Soil type	Haplic Luvisol			
Soil depth	To be determined			
Soil texture	Clay-loam			
Tree characteristics				
Species and variety	Main species: Castanea sativa, Fagus sylvatica, Pinus sylvestris, Quercus pedunculata, Quercus sessilflora, Carpinus betulus, Coryllus avellana, Acer campestre, Prunus cerasifera, Crataegus monogyna, Ligustrum vulgare, Prunus spinosa, Vuburnum sp.			
Date of planting	Site 1: 2005; Site 2: 1999-2000			
Hedgerow structure	One line and two lines hedgerows (see maps); one potential high stem tree every 4 or 6 m along hedgerows			
Ground structure	Plantation on flat ground, novel or old bank			
Typical tree vield	No harvest to date			
Typical increase in	To be determined			
tree biomass				
Crop characteristics				
Species	Site 1: permanent grassland			
	Site 2: silage maize/ winter cereals/ grassland rotation			
Management	Site 1: cattle grazing Site 2: silage maize harvested in October; cereals harvested in July, straw			
	exported; grassland grazed			
Typical crop yield	To be determined			
Fertiliser, pesticide, m	achinery and labour management			
Fertiliser	Cite 1, name (avecant many up from the acttle when graning)			
	Site 2: to be determined			
Pesticides	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2 : to be determined			
Pesticides Machinery	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 2 : to be determined To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 2: to be determined To be determined Site 1: none			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 2: to be determined To be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 2: to be determined To be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing Livestock managemen	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined To be determined t			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing Livestock managemen Species and breed	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined t To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing Livestock managemen Species and breed Description of	Site 1: hone (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined t To be determined t To be determined To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing Livestock managemen Species and breed Description of livestock system	Site 1: none (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined t To be determined To be determined To be determined			
Pesticides Machinery Manure handling Labour Fencing Livestock managemen Species and breed Description of livestock system Date of entry to site	Site 1: hone (except manure from the cattle when grazing) Site 2: to be determined Site 1: none Site 1: none Site 1: none Site 2: to be determined To be determined To be determined t To be determined To be determined To be determined To be determined To be determined			

from site	
Stocking density	To be determined
Animal welfare issues	To be determined
Supplementary feed	To be determined
Technical data, livestock	
Production volume	To be determined
Litter performance	To be determined
Feed consumption	To be determined
N and P-balance	To be determined
Financial and economic characteristics	
Costs	To be determined

# 4 Update on field measurements

The hedgerow agroforestry systems being studied in Brittany were planted between 1999 and 2005. Field measurements carried out within the framework of the AGFORWARD project and described in the research and development protocol (Thenail et al. 2015) will begin in spring 2016.

### 5 Expected results

### 5.1 Description of the tree component

Facilitators and farmers will help with estimated and effective yield evaluation of tree products. Farmers will help with answering a survey of management practices at hedgerows scale. Harvest assessments made by farmers and facilitators after maintenance pruning will seek to quantify the biomass produced for mulch and firewood. There is potential for timber production given the age of hedgerows: this will be assessed by measuring the structure of the high-stem trees that were initially designated for potential timber. Biomass production by the innovative hedgerows will be compared to references on biomass production by traditional hedgerow systems.

#### 5.2 Description of the crop component

The principal crops grown in the area are maize, winter cereals and temporary or permanent grass. Permanent grasslands are mainly encountered on soils of lower quality and on redoximorphic soils. Temporary grasslands are frequently grown in rotation with annual crops. The mean annual yield in the area (Morbihan department) over the past three years are 7.6 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> for winter wheat, 9.7 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> for grain maize, 14.7 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> for silage maize, and 8.7 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> temporary grasslands (Agreste 2015). Farmer interviews will be used to quantify the components of crop yields (total biomass, grain), to compare the yields of the land parcel of site 1 or 2 with those of other comparable land parcels, and to quantify the provisioning services in the study sites.

### 5.3 Evaluation of other ecosystem services related to soil, water and biodiversity

#### 5.3.1 Services related to soil and water quality

The impact of hedgerows on soil and water have been quantified to date on old hedgerows in Brittany.

Hedgerows significantly modify the water cycle in their vicinity. The average percentage of rainfall intercepted by oaks was 28% for the leafed period and 12% for the leafless period (Ghazavi et al. 2008). Thomas et al. (2008) report transpiration rates equivalent to 5.6 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in summer and estimated that oak hedgerow transpiration was nearly twice than oaks transpiration in forestry. Near oak hedgerows, Grimaldi et al. (2009) found very high chloride concentrations in soil compared with nearby crops soil, indicating the intense water uptake by tree roots.

The role of ancient hedgerows in nitrogen recycling has also been investigated and shows that nutrient uptake by trees reduces soil nitrate concentration, and that denitrification can reduce the loss of nitrate. At a watershed scale, the nitrate flux brought by water surface decreased when the hedge tree density increased (Benhamou 2012; Benhamou et al. 2013). Regarding soil conservation, several studies demonstrated also the anti-erosive effect of hedgerows, although there is high spatial variability at the landscape scale (Follain et al. 2006; Lacoste et al. 2014; Lacoste et al. 2015).

Hedgerows also directly and indirectly affect soil organic carbon (SOC) content. Soil organic carbon contents measured in topsoil under old hedgerows can be up to 2.5 times higher than those in the adjacent crop field (Walter et al. 2003). Total SOC stocks measured on the whole soil profile in the vincinity of hedgerows are higher than that measured in cultivated fields (Follain et al. 2007) for three main reasons: 1) C input to the soil by trees are higher than those provided by crop residues (which are often exported from the field); 2) deep soil horizons have significant amont of C, related to enhanced soil biological activity, and tree rooting depth; and 3) because of its impact on soil erosion, hedgerows modify SOC spatial distribution and dynamics at the hillslope scale.

Soil sampling and measurements described in the Research and Development protocol (Thenail et al., 2015) will provide us quanticiation of ecosystems services related to soil and water in innovative 3D hedgerows network. These results will be compared with the references for old hedgerow networks.

# 5.3.2 Services related to biodiversity

The field surveys to be realized in 2016 will evaluate the effects of new hedgerows on biodiversity of conservation interest (associated to semi-natural habitats), on flagship biodiversity (butterflies) and on beneficial biodiversity involved in regulating services (e.g. generalist predators of crop pests).

Evaluation studies have been performed on traditional, old hedgerows and their spatial networks in similar types of agricultural landscapes in Brittany. They have shown that hedgerow is a stable seminatural habitat and refuge for several plant and animal species. Old hedgerows with dense shrub and tree layers were found to provide stable habitats for forest species of carabid beetles (Burel 1991, Petit 1994a), due to specific microclimatic conditions. Hedgerow banks also provide habitats or refuges for species depending on grass and floristic resources, such as butterflies or bees. The diversity of butterflies was found to be higher in hedgerow banks than other herbaceous habitats, in relationships with a high diversity of plant species (Ouin and Burel 2002). Le Feon (2010) found that the diversity of pollinators such as solitary bees increased with hedgerow density in farming landscapes, due to the high quality of nectar and nesting resources in these elements. Regarding the communities of natural enemies of crop pests, the diversity of predatory carabid beetles, of ladybirds and of aphid parasitoids in cereals fields was found to be positively related to the density of hedgerows and/or their proximity to crops in the surrounding landscape (Puech et al. 2015; Duflot et al. 2016). This is explained by the role of overwintering sites or refuges that hedgerows play for these beneficial insects.

Regarding dispersal processes in farming landscapes, hedgerows were found to play a crucial role of corridor for the dispersal of forest carabid species between remnant fragments of forest habitats (Petit and Burel 1998a, 1998b; Petit 1994b). It explains why hedgerows located in areas with a dense and spatial connected hedgerow network are characterized by the presence of forest carabid species, whilst these species do not persist in landscapes where hedgerows have been removed (Burel et al. 2004). The effect of hedgerows on the dispersal of species associated to herbaceous habitats can be antagonistic. For instance, they were found to act as barriers to butterfly movements between herbaceous habitats, but to facilitate their dispersal when parallel to movement trajectories (Ouin 2000).

### 6 Acknowledgements

The AGFORWARD project (Grant Agreement N° 613520) is co-funded by the European Commission, Directorate General for Research & Innovation, within the 7th Framework Programme of RTD, Theme 2 - Biotechnologies, Agriculture & Food. The views and opinions expressed in this report are purely those of the writers and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission.

#### 7 References

Antoine A, Marguerie D (Eds.) (2008). Bocage et Sociétés. Presses Universitaires de Rennes, Rennes. Agreste (2015). Memento de la statistique agricole. http://agreste.agriculture.gouv.fr/

Association Terres & Bocages (2014). Dossier du projet CASDAR "Intégrer le bocage au- systèmesd'eploitation: un pas versl'agroécologie" (financement Ministère de l'Agriculture).

Benhamou C (2012). Modélisation de l'effet des interactions haies-cultures sur les transferts d'eau et d'azote à l'échelle d'un petit bassin versant agricole, Ph.D thesis. In., Agrocampus Ouest.

- Benhamou C, Salmon-Monviola J, Durand P, Grimaldi C, Merot P (2013). Modeling the interaction between fields and a surrounding hedgerow network and its impact on water and nitrogen flows of a small watershed. Agricultural Water Management 121: 62-72.
- Burel F (1991). Dynamique d'un paysage réseaux et flux biologiques. Thèse Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France

Burel F, Butet A, Delettre Y, Millàn de la Peña N (2004). Differential response of selected taxa to landscape context and agricultural intensification. Landscape and Urban Planning 67: 195–204

- Duflot R, Ernoult A., Burel F., Aviron S. (2016) Landscape level processes driving carabid crop communities in dynamic farmlands. Population Ecology, in press.
- Follain S, Minasny B, McBratney A, Walter C (2006). Simulation of soil thickness evolution in a complex agricultural landscape at fine spatial and temporal scales. Geoderma 133: 71-86.
- Follain S, Walter C, Legout A, Lermercier B (2007). Induced effects of hedgerow networks on soil organic carbon storage within an agricultural landscape. Geoderma 142: 80-95.
- Ghazavi G, Thomas Z, Hamon Y, Marie JC, Corson M, Merot P (2008). Hedgerow impacts on soilwater transfer due to rainfall interception and root-water uptake. Hydrological Processes 22: 4723-4735.

- Grimaldi C, Thomas Z, Fossey M, Fauvel Y, Merot P (2009). High chloride concentrations in the soil and groundwater under an oak hedge in the West of France: an indicator of evapotranspiration and water movement. Hydrological Processes 23: 1865-1873.
- IFN (2009). La forêt française Les résultats issus des campagnes d'inventaire 2005 à 2009 Les résultats pour la région Bretagne. 25p.
- Lacoste M, Minasny B, McBratney A, Michot D, Viaud V, Walter C (2014). High resolution 3D mapping of soil organic carbon in a heterogeneous agricultural landscape. Geoderma 213: 293-311.
- Lacoste M, Viaud V, Michot D, Walter C (2015). Landscape-scale modelling of erosion processes and soil carbon dynamics under land-use and climate change in agroecosystems. European Journal of Soil Science 66: 780-791.
- Le Du L, Le Coeur D, Thenail C, Burel F, Baudry J (2008). New hedgerows in replanting programmes: assessment of their ecological quality and their maintenance on farms. In: Berlan-Darqué M, Terrasson D, Luginbühl Y (Eds.), Landscape: From Knowledge to Action. 177-191. Editions Quae, Versailles.
- Le Feon V (2010). Insectes pollinisateurs dans les paysages agricoles : approche pluri-échelle du rôle des habitats semi-naturels, des pratiques agricoles et des cultures entomophiles. Thèse Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France.
- Ouin A (2000) Hétérogénéité spatiale des paysages et processus écologiques. Thèse Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France.
- Ouin A, Burel F (2002). Influence of herbaceous elements on butterfly diversity in hedgerow agricultural landscapes. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 93 : 45–53
- Petit S (1994a). Métapopulations dans les réseaux bocagers : analyse spatiale et diffusion. Thèse Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France.
- Petit S (1994b). Diffusion of forest carabid species in hedgerow network landscapes, pp. 337-443, In: Carabid beetles: ecology and evolution (Desender K, Dufrêne M, Loreau M, Luff ML, Maelfait J-P (Eds). Kluwer Academic Publisher, Netherlands.
- Petit S, Burel F (1998a). Connectivity in fragmented populations : *Abax parallelepipedus* in a hedgerow network landscape. Life Sciences 321: 55-61.
- Petit S, Burel F (1998b). Effects of landscape dynamics on the metapopulation of a ground beetle (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in a hedgerow network. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 69: 243-252.
- Puech C, Poggi S, Baudry J, Aviron S (2015) Do farming practices affect natural enemies at the landscape scale? Landscape Ecology 30:125–140
- Thenail C, Viaud V, Hao H (2014). Initial Stakeholder Meeting Report: Bocage agroforestry in Brittany, France. Milestone 2.1. AGFORWARD project. 2 December 2014. 10 pp. Available online: <u>http://www.agforward.eu/index.php/en/bocage-agroforestry-in-brittany-france.html</u>
- Thenail C, Aviron S, Viaud V (2015). Research and development protocol for Bocage Agroforestry in French Group. INRA Rennes, France. Available online:

http://www.agforward.eu/index.php/en/bocage-agroforestry-in-brittany-france.html

- Thomas Z, Molénat J, Caubel V, Grimaldi C, Mérot P (2008). Simulating soil-water movement under a hedgerow surrounding a bottomland reveals the importance of transpiration in water balance. Hydrological Processes 22: 577-585.
- Walter C, Merot P, Layer B, Dutin G (2003). The effect of hedgerows in soil organic carbon storage on hillslopes. Soil Use and Management 3: 201-207.